FLOW CONTROL OVER THE NACA 4415 AIRFOIL USING MICRO CYLINDERS – A COMPUTATIONAL STUDY
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Abstract

The effect of passive flow control methods is widely studied and investigated due to its slight variation in the geometrical parameters with significant improvement in the aerodynamic efficiency. The computational study of the flow over the NACA 4415 airfoil section with the micro cylinders placed, upstream of the leading edge at the Re= $3.3 \times 10^5$ was investigated. The physical model of the problem was solved by Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes Equation (RANS) and turbulence model was generated with standard k-ω (2 equations) turbulence model. The airfoil section was simulated with and without (baseline model) micro cylinder along with two variations of diameter. The result shows that the increase in the diameter of the micro cylinder delays the stall phenomenon by reducing the separation region in the range of 15° to 25°.
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Introduction:

Several studies and methods are still under development to improve the aerodynamic efficiency of the airfoil, which is primarily used in aircraft wings, wind turbine blades and so on. The formation of a wake region in the suction surface of airfoil when it pitches up to higher angles of attack is the highly unavoidable situation. So, the passive way of energizing the flow around the airfoil is necessary which significantly alters the aerodynamic characteristics. One of the ways for vitalizing the flow field so that it may be more attached with the airfoil in the downstream is by utilizing the diverse dimensions of micro cylinders and placing them near the leading edge of the airfoil. The need to know how well the enhancement of the aerodynamic characteristics can be achieved by the passive methods lies in the improvements in the efficiency of the aircraft. Some of the computational studies on passive flow control are as follows. Computational analysis of the flow over the NACA 0012 at Re= $6 \times 10^6$ was done by Dahai Luo and et al [1]. In that analysis, the RANS calculations and SpalartAllmaras (SA) model were used. Menter’s k-ω shear-stress transport (SST) model was also used for comparison. They found that narrow spacing (s/c<0.01) and very less diameter of the cylinder (D/c=0.01) leads to a considerable decrease in lift coefficient. The stall separation region gets varied with different airfoils, spacing, and diameter of the cylinder. Another computational analysis on NREL S809 wind turbine airfoil at Re = $1 \times 10^6$ in the range of $\alpha= 10^6$–24° by Xuyang Shi and et al [2]. They found that the aerodynamic characteristics were improved while using oscillating micro cylinder instead of a static micro cylinder. Similarly, the other works related to passive flow such as vortex cylinders, square rods in front of bluff bodies were also done, to reduce the wake region.

Computational Methodology:

Model Description:

The NACA 4415 airfoil was chosen for this computational work. The span of the airfoil in the computational domain is 0.3 m and the ends of the airfoil model are closed by the walls in the computational domain which results in the absence of the wingtip vortices. The chord of the airfoil is 0.1 m. By making the adjustments in the dimensions of the micro cylinder, the flow field alters significantly. The two different dimensions of the micro cylinder are 0.5 mm and 1mm. The micro cylinder is placed at 5mm in the negative x-axis and 5 mm in the positive y-axis near the leading edge of the airfoil. This computational study was done at the Reynolds number 3.5 x 10^5. The baseline case without micro cylinder is simulated and tested for the same Reynolds number for the comparison of the data.

Grid Generation:

In this computational study, the adaptive grid was generated around the micro cylinders and the airfoil. In the near boundary of the airfoil and micro cylinder, the finer grids are used in order to get the high accuracy flow field data in both streamline and wall-normal. The chord length of the NACA 4415 airfoil is c = 0.1 m, the computational domain extends 5c from the airfoil leading edge at 1c. The unstructured mesh is generated around all solid surfaces. The initial grid count normal to the wall is set to be 100. The flow field parameters get varied around the surface of the airfoil compared to the far field conditions which significantly provides the information regarding the flow. Flow around the micro cylinder which is nearer to the leading edge and the flow variation in trailing edge greatly influence the computational simulation.

Governing equation and turbulence model:

The physical model is considered to have the incompressible flow generated by the wind tunnel. The governing equation for this problem is Reynolds averaged two dimensional viscous incompressible Navier- Stokes equation, whose mathematical form as follows:

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial(u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(u_y)}{\partial y} = 0$$

(1)
Two-dimensional viscous incompressible Navier–Stokes equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial (\rho u_x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_x u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_x u_y)}{\partial y} &= - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial y^2} \right) \\
\frac{\partial (\rho u_y)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_x u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho u_y u_y)}{\partial y} &= - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_y}{\partial y^2} \right)
\end{align*}
\tag{2} \text{ and (3)}
\]

For the simulation of the airfoil and the micro cylinder, the standard \( k-\varepsilon \) model was used everywhere in the low-velocity flow field. Near-wall is treated with standard wall functions. The transport equation of the \( k-\varepsilon \) model used is:

For turbulent kinetic energy \( k \),

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho k)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho k u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho k u_y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\rho k}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\rho k}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (P_k + P_h - \rho \varepsilon)' - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right] + S_k
\tag{4}
\]

For dissipation \( \varepsilon \),

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho \varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho \varepsilon u_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho \varepsilon u_y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\rho k}{\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right] + C_{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} (P_k + P_h - C_{\varepsilon} \rho \varepsilon)' - C_{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} + S_{\varepsilon}
\tag{5}
\]

\( C_{\varepsilon} = 1.44, C_{\varepsilon} = 1.92, C_{\varepsilon} = 0.09, \alpha_k = 1.0, \alpha_k = 1.3 \)

Solution Methodology:

A no-slip adiabatic solid wall condition is adopted for both the airfoil and micro-cylinder surface. The free-stream Mach number is fixed at \( M = 0.14693 \). The Reynolds number based on the airfoil chord length \( c \) is fixed at \( Re = 3.3 \times 10^5 \). The coordinate system origin is set at the starting vertex point of control volume and the coordinate of the micro-cylinder centre is denoted by \( (X, Y) \). \( k-\varepsilon \) (two-equation) turbulence model was adopted and based on Pressure-based, SIMPLE algorithm was adopted. Air was considered as material. Momentum equations, turbulent kinetic energy equations, and dissipation terms all adopt the first-order upwind style. Discretization method used for pressure momentum turbulent kinetic and dissipation rate is first order upwind. AMG method of stabilization is used and smoother type is Gauss-Seidel. A Reference frame is set relative to the cell zone.

Results and Discussion:

Changes in the aerodynamic characteristics using the micro cylinder:

Figure 1.1 to 1.3 describes the Coefficient of lift distribution for a range of the angles of attack starting from 0° to 25°. The \( CL \) distribution of the baseline airfoil shows that the stall occurs at \( \alpha = 15^\circ \) with the maximum \( CL \) value 1.39. In the presence of micro cylinder ahead of the leading edge at optimum position and size significantly delays the stall phenomenon. This statement is substantiated by the above figure 1.3, the micro cylinder with 2 mm indicates the maximum value of \( CL=1.4 \) at \( \alpha = 20^\circ \) which was the stall angle for this case. Therefore, the increase in the size of micro cylinder greatly energized the flow field on the upper surface of the airfoil which resulted in the more attachment of the flow throughout the downstream of the airfoil by delaying the flow separation.

![Figure 1.1: NACA 4415 Baseline Airfoil Coefficient of Lift (CL) vs Angle of Attack (\( \alpha \)).](image1)

![Figure 1.2: NACA 4415 Airfoil with 1mm Diameter Coefficient of Lift (CL) vs Angle of Attack (\( \alpha \)).](image2)

![Figure 1.3: NACA 4415 Airfoil with 2mm Diameter Coefficient of Lift (CL) vs Angle of Attack (\( \alpha \)).](image3)

![Figure 2.1: NACA 4415 Baseline Airfoil Coefficient of Drag (CD) vs Angle of Attack (\( \alpha \)).](image4)
FIGURE 2.2: NACA 4415 AIRFOIL WITH 1MM DIAMETER COEFFICIENT OF DRAG (C_D) VS ANGLE OF ATTACK (α).

FIGURE 2.3: NACA 4415 AIRFOIL WITH 2mm DIAMETER COEFFICIENT OF DRAG (C_D) VS ANGLE OF ATTACK (α).

On the other hand, the drag slightly increases compared to the baseline case, due to the presence of the micro cylinder in the upstream of the leading edge of the airfoil. This can be found from the above-mentioned figures 2.1 to 2.3. The drag of the baseline case, from the fig 2.1 ranges from 0.04 to 0.29. But from the figures 2.2 and 2.3 the drag range were 0.04 to 0.31 and 0.04 to 0.325. This clearly indicates that the drag increases when the micro cylinder is placed in upstream of the leading edge of the airfoil. But at the angle of attack 20°, the drag for the baseline case, 1mm and 2 mm diameter cases were 0.24, 0.245 and 0.22 respectively. This may be the optimum angle of attack of the airfoil with the 2mm diameter micro cylinder produces the high lift and less drag.

From the figures 3.1 and 3.2, it can be found that at the angle of attack α=20°, in the case without micro cylinder the flow on the suction surface has a low velocity which shows that the flow was separated. But in the case with micro cylinder, the velocity of the flow on the suction surface is much higher compared to its baseline model due to the momentum imparted by the micro cylinder. Hence, the flow remains attached which can be clearly seen in fig 3.2.

Conclusion:

The following conclusions were made for the NACA 4415 airfoil which was computationally studied in the range 0-25 °angle of attack at Re= 3.3x10^5:

• The increment in the lift coefficient is purely based on the spacing and diameter of the micro cylinder near the leading edge. The positioning of the micro cylinder should be on the suction surface near the leading edge. In this report, the micro cylinder was in the position of negative x-axis 5 mm and positive y-axis 5 mm. The results showed that the lift coefficient is more for the diameter 2 mm micro cylinder compared to the diameter 1mm micro cylinder and baseline case.

• From the velocity contours, it can find that the presence of the micro cylinder significantly makes the flow streamlined over the suction surface and delays the stall phenomenon by maximum α=5°.

• The drag coefficient was increased at higher angles since the stalling region makes the aerodynamic loads act majorly in the drag direction.

• At the angle of attack 20 °, the lift increases which was shown in the figures from 1.1 to 1.3. Similarly, the drag decreases in this angle of attack which was shown in the figures from 2.1 to 2.3. In this report, the optimum angle of attack for this airfoil- micro cylinder (2 mm diameter) combination is α=20°.
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