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ABSTRACT

Modern day gas-turbine engines are exploited to have better fuel economy, thrust to weight ratio, operational stability and overall efficiency. Achieving all these parameters is largely dependent on compressor/fan being used within. Therefore, most of the engine design efforts are drawn into the compressor/fan design. Present study is intended to build understanding about the design methodology and flow field behavior of multi stage axial flow compressor. A three stage axial flow compressor, suitable for Small Gas Turbine (SGT) application, has been designed. The designed compressor stage is intended to deliver mass flow rate of 3.85 kg/s, pressure ratio of 2.36, with stage efficiency of 82% at design speed of 3500 rpm. Steady RANS 3D CFD simulations are carried out at design and off design speeds to study the interaction among stages and behavior of various flow parameters at choke point (CP), design point (DP) and numerical stall (NS) conditions. Compressor performance is presented in terms of compressor maps consisting of pressure ratio (PR) and efficiency variation with respect to mass flow. Targeted aerodynamic performance is achieved with 1% lower efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION:

The attainment to improve overall efficiency of gas turbine cycle depends on the efficiency of individual component and synergy among all the component as an engine. However, compression unit of a gas turbine engine is attributed to be the major player in its efficient performance. Therefore, design of an efficient compression system calls for huge amount of understanding about the flow physics and its interaction with the other subsequent components.

Although for the last few decades, CFD techniques are widely being used for the flow analysis in turbomachinery [1, 2, 3] but some of the spontaneously occurring phenomenon needs more matured CFD techniques which can accurately predict those. Specifically, flow in the compressors is very complex due to adverse gradient and its 3D nature over the entire operating range (Choke to stall). Compressor operation near the stall is highly sensitive to even an incremental change in the pressure [4]. Therefore, for capturing the accurate stall point, predicting flow behavior at the onset of stall, aerodynamic loading of the blades and sensitiveness of compressor stability to the design changes, demand more sophisticated CFD techniques as reported by Roger and Mauro et al [5, 6]. Nevertheless, recent advances in the CFD has incredibly cut down the time and cost involved in the design of any turbomachinery component by providing holistic prediction of the flow either due to incremental or large design changes which has been demonstrated by many researchers such as: Howaed et al [7] developed streamline curvature through-flow code incorporating 3D flow phenomenon which was validated with a multistage axial compressor experimental data showing very close match. Leonid et al. [8] demonstrated an integrated design platform for gas-turbine preliminary design. Major outcome of their approach was significant reduction in the design cycle time form conceptual design phase to final design including all design and off design performance. A general capability parametric tool for 3-D design of turbomachinery blade was developed by Kiren et al. [9]. Where geometric and aerodynamic data is provided as input for airfoil creation which then is stacked to give 3D blade with minimum CAD interaction. Mark [10] developed a 1-D stage by stage constant radius compressor performance model which could produce design and off design compressor performance for commercially available compressors as well as new design with minimum inputs required. Magdy et al [11]
presented another simplified row by row 1-D method for predicting compressor performance under off design condition using a modified diffusion factor. Sayari et al [12] proposed a new through flow method essentially for the transonic compressor. The procedure was based on averaging procedure working normal to the cascade centerline and was found to be in good agreement against the validated data.

With increasing use of multistage compressors, it’s very essential to have idea about the design methodology, flow physics and interaction of all the stages together under design and off-design conditions. As a matter of convenience, most of the literature available on compressor studies deals with single stage compressor however, there are consistent efforts by researchers towards design, analysis and development of multistage compressor as one unit as reported in [13]. Wherein, Wisler and team under took design and parametric performance evaluation for a multistage axial compressor. As an outcome, steady revealed the influence of compressor design parameters on efficiency, operating cost, blade design, fuel consumption and weight of the engine. John [14] developed a computational model to analyze moderate to long scale flow physics in a high speed multistage axial compressor. The model was targeted to predict breakdown of the flow physics in the four stage compressor at different speeds and inlet mass flow. Louis et al [15] pursued aerodynamic design of advanced multistage axial compressor to achieve high efficiency with high loading, compact size and wide operating range which was carried out in two phases. First phase was oriented to develop confidence in design methodology through CFD and inverse design technique, the second phase as an outcome of the first led to development of a four stage advance axial compressor with stated objectives. In a collaborative research project, Simon and Taylor et al. [16, 17] introduced 3D blade feature like sweep and dihedral for a multi stage axial compressor rotor and demonstrated its influence on the flow physics through extensive CFD and experimental analysis. Another rigorous steady and unsteady CFD analysis were carried out by Christian et al. [18] for a seven stage half scale compressor. The study was essentially intended to validate their CFD technique in terms of accurately capturing stall inception and key flow features. Similar work was carried out by Fanzhou et al. [19] to study the post stall behavior of a multistage compressor. Milan et al. [20] conducted a comparative study between through flow analysis and traditional CFD for multistage compressor. They concluded that both the methods have pros and cons, where through flow methods holds good for quick and robust analysis particularly for multistage machines however, it fails to accurately capture complex flow physics compared to traditional CFD method.

Based on the facts form the literature, it’s evident that lot of parametric studies are required to excel design challenges and complex flow physics in multistage axial flow compressors. Present study is an effort to build understanding for designing multistage axial flow compressor using CFD technique to analyses the evolving flow physics and interaction between each stage from chock to stall conditions over different operating speeds. General design specifications for the three stage axial compressor are given in the Table 1.

### Table 1: General specifications of the three stage axial compressor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotational Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>35000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass flow (kg/s)</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pressure ratio</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage-wise PR (π)</td>
<td>1.4, 1.35, 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isentropic Efficiency (η)</td>
<td>82.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor hub tip ratio (r1/r1)</td>
<td>R1:0.56, R2:0.65, R3:0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of rotor blades</td>
<td>R1:14, R2:19, R3:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of stator blades</td>
<td>S1:43, S2:55, S3:69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip clearance (h) (mm)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DESIGN METHODOLOGY:

Primary design calculations were carried out using isentropic relations, later the obtained parameters were used for mean-line design through a commercial 2D design tool. Preliminary flow path is established using inlet total pressure, temperature, flow angle, total-to-static pressure ratio, mass flow, reference radius, flow and load coefficients. The compressor flow path is evolved by varying hub and tip end wall which can be seen in the figure 1. Koch & Smith loss model is used for the prediction of performance and stalling conditions of the rotor. Multiple
Circular Arch (MCA) profiles for rotor blades and Double Circular Arc (DCA) profiles for stator blades are used for all the three stages. 3D blade design is also carried out using commercial tool dedicated for turbomachinery design. Flow path contour refinement, flow angle adjustment, blade profile corrections and managing the gap between each stage is done through the same design tool. A 3D model of the compressor stage is depicted in the figure 2.

![Figure 1: Flow path of the compressor stage 2D.](image1)

![Figure 2: 3D model of the compressor stage.](image2)

**COMPUTATIONAL METHODOLOGY:**

**Grid generation:** ANSYS Turbogrid has been used for grid generation. O-H topology was chosen for generating structured hexahedral grid. Spacing between the end-wall and first cell was maintained small enough to meet $y^+$ requirement. For assuring the reliability of the solution, grid independent study was carried out for coarse, medium and fine mesh sizes (1, 1.5 and 2 Million) keeping total pressure ratio as monitoring parameter. Deviation of the coarse and medium grid was 1.8 % and 0.6 % from the fine grid as can be seen in figure 3, therefore analysis was continued for the medium grid size. Grid generated for three stages is presented in the figure 4.

![Figure 3: Peak total PR deviation with respect to grid size.](image3)

![Figure 4: Computational grid generate for three stage compressor.](image4)

**Boundary conditions:** Single blade row 3D passage for all the three stages was considered for the analysis, as given in the figure 5. All the solid boundaries were treated as wall with no slip and non-heat transfer. Side walls of the domain were imposed with periodic boundaries. Inlet of the compressor stage was enforced with total pressure and total temperature assuming the flow normal to the boundary. Exit boundary of the last stage was defined with static pressure at mid location having pressure averaging over the entire face.

**Flow solver:** Steady state density based RANS (Reynolds Averaged Navier Stoke) solver including viscous work was adapted in ANSYS CFX to perform the computational analysis for all the three stages together. SST (Shear stress transport) $k-\omega$ model with 5% turbulence intensity at inlet, was considered for turbulence closure. Rotor stator interaction plane was defined as mixing plane which does circumferential averaging of the flow parameter for transferring information at the interface.
RESULT AND DISCUSSION:

Stage performance: Performance plots are generated from chock to stall conditions by varying the back pressure at the exit. In the beginning of computation, solution had violent fluctuations in the convergence which is attributed to the rear stage operating at extremely off design conditions thereby making the solution unstable. Near the onset of stall, convergence of fluxes begins to fluctuate and finally it fails to converge, this point is considered as numerical stall (NS). Performance of all three stages is evaluated in terms of pressure ratio and efficiency at 80%, 90% and 100% which is given in the figure 6 (a) and 6 (b). At design speed, PR of 2.32 and efficiency of 81.2% is achieved with respect to targeted value.

Stream-wise flow physics: Variation of static pressure (normalized by $P_{st,max}$ at DP) throughout the compressor stages is given in figure 7 for CP, DP and NS conditions. At the entry to R1, pressure rise is nearly constant over the 50% of the chord for CP, DP and NS due to rapid acceleration of the flow which can be seen in figure 8 in terms of $M_{rel}$ contours as well. Again at the entry to R2 and R3 there is a sudden dip in the pressure (Indicated by circle) due to rotor LE acceleration followed by steep diffusion in rest of the rotor part for DP and NS. Static pressure rise through S1, S2 and S3 is very gradual compared to rotor which indicates relatively high loading of the rotor blades. At CP, rise in the static pressure from R2 to R3 is very marginal due to relatively high acceleration over 80% of the rotor blade suction surface which is clear from $M_{rel}$ contours as well. A sudden drop indicated by arrow in the S3 at CP is observed. This is mainly due to CP having the highest mass flow and all the stages operating at very low PR with marginal density changes therefore in order to balance the inlet and exit mass, flow encounters rapid acceleration in the rear stages as depicted by $M_{rel}$ contour in the S3.
Figure 7: Stream-wise static pressure built up at CP, DP and NS for all the three stages.

Figure 8: Tip relative Mach number contours across all the stages at CP, DP and NS.

**Span-wise variation of the flow:** Figure 9 (a), 9 (b) and 9 (c) show the span-wise variation of the total pressure (Normalized by S3 exit \( P_{o,\text{max}} \) at DP) at rotor (R1, R2, R3) and (S1, S2, S3) exit for CP, DP and NS conditions. As we move along the rear stages, there is increase in the total pressure radially and axially. From the rotor exit profiles, it can be seen that R1 has huge flow reversal till 60% span for CP and DP which increases at NS almost till 85% span with spike in total pressure near the blade tip region. R2 exit profiles have similar distribution over the entire span for all three conditions with flow reversal up to 75% of span. R3 exit has almost uniform total pressure distribution for CP except end-wall region however it does have flow reversal over 40% of the span for DP and NS which is less compared to R1 and R2. S1 and S2 exit profiles are almost same at all the three points.
(CP, DP and NS) having flow reversal till 40% of span on the other hand, S3 exit profile for all the conditions remains uniform over the entire span except end-wall regions.

Blade passage flow behavior: Figure 10 (a), 10 (b), 10 (c) and 10 (d) show the stream line pattern along the rotor (R1, R2, R3) and stator (S1, S2, S3) blades at DP and NS. In the rotor passage, along with stream-wise pressure...
gradient, a radial pressure gradient does exist due to the centrifugal force acting on the fluid partial causing them to move towards the casing which can be seen in the figure 10 (a) and 10 (c) for rotor flow. At DP and NS, due to radial pressure gradient, flow in the R1 is subjected to reversal near hub TE causing the streamlines to drift radially upwards. Tip leakage flow (TLF) coming from the pressure side to suction side then interacts with this upward moving flow forming a spiral cortex (SV) which can be seen in R1 for DP and NS. However, the intensity and extent of SV formation deepens on the presence of adverse pressure gradient. SV in R1 at DP forms around 40 % of the chord penetrating core flow over 20 % of the blade span. On the other hand, at NS, strong SV forms almost form the LE of the R1 penetrating core flow over the 30 % of blade span. Similar flow pattern can be see for the R2 at DP and NS. Towards the rear stages, adverse pressure gradient increases which causes the flow incidence to change and consequently early flow separation from the blade surface. Unlike R1 and R2, R3 does not have flow drifting upwards form the hub surface in both the cases however it does have strong SV and high flow incidence. This is probably due to the flow essentially being dominated by BL and TLV in R3 and fluid particles experiencing relatively lower centrifugal force in the high aspect ratio blade passage. S1 is observed to have separation near the tip at DP which is attributed to the intense SV being conveyed from the R1. This probably indicates that stalling begins from the first stage at DP. This phenomenon is not observed at NS since the SV formed in R1 is more dominant radially than stream wise. Similarly, at DP, S2 also have separation bubble near the tip due to SV carried from the R2, however the severity of the separation is more at NS. S3 seems to have no separation at DP but does have strong separation bubble spanning around 20 % of the blade chord. This probably attributes to stalling from the rear stages at NS.

Figure 10 (a): Rotor streamlines at DP.

Figure 10 (b): Stator streamlines at DP.

Figure 10 (c): Rotor streamlines at NS.
CONCLUSIONS:
A three stage axial flow compressor design and CFD analysis have been carried out which is mainly aligned with small gas turbine (SGT) applications. At design speed, PR of 2.32 and efficiency of 81.2 % is achieved with respect to targeted value. Detailed flow physics is investigated to analyze the interaction of all the stages together at CP, DP and NS conditions. During the simulation it was observed that convergence of the solution was very difficult at all three operating speeds for low pressure ratios with high fluctuations in the fluxes. This was mainly due to the rear stages operating at far off design conditions. SV is formed in the R1 and R2 due to interaction of TLV and upward drifting flow acted by centrifugal force. SV is found to be axially more dominant at DP and radially at NS. Unlike R1 and R2, R3 does not have upward moving flow due to high aspect ratio passage having lower centrifugal force being acted on the fluid particles. Designed multistage compressor under predict the pressure ratio and efficiency marginally. There is a need to fine tune the design and CFD aspects in future course of action.

NOMENCLATURES:
Symbols:
- $M_{rel}$ - Relative Mach number
- $P_{st}$ - Static pressure (Pa)
- $P_{st_{max}}$ - Maximum static pressure (Pa)
- $P_{o}$ - Total pressure (Pa)
- $P_{o_{max}}$ - Maximum total pressure (Pa)
- $\pi$ - Pressure ratio
- $\eta$ - Isentropic efficiency
- $N$ - Rotational speed (RPM)
- $T_o$ - Total temperature (K)
- $h$ - Tip clearance (mm)
- $r_h$ - Hub radius (mm)
- $r_t$ - Tip radius (mm)
- $m_x$ - Local mass flow rate (kg/s)
- $m_{chock}$ - Chock mass flow rate (kg/s)
- $st$ - Static
- R1, R2, R3 - Rotor 1, 2, 3
- S1, S2, S3 - Stator 1, 2, 3

Abbreviations:
- CSIR - Council of Scientific and Industrial Research
- CFD - Computational fluid dynamics
- CP - Chock point
- DP - Design point
- NS - Numerical stall
- SV - Spiral vortex
- BL - Boundary layer
- TLV - Tip leakage vortex
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